

Water Indicators

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
Overall Basin Risk (score)	1.98	Overall Basin Risk (score)	
Overall Basin Risk (rank)	184	Overall Basin Risk (rank)	
Physical risk (score)	1.39	Physical risk (score)	
Physical risk (rank)	191	Physical risk (rank)	
Regulatory risk (score)	3.16	Regulatory risk (score)	
Regulatory risk (rank)	48	Regulatory risk (rank)	
Reputation risk (score)	2.55	Reputation risk (score)	
Reputation risk (rank)	105	Reputation risk (rank)	
1. Quantity - Scarcity (score)	1.27	1. Quantity - Scarcity (score)	
1. Quantity - Scarcity (rank)	188	1. Quantity - Scarcity (rank)	
2. Quantity - Flooding (score)	1.96	2. Quantity - Flooding (score)	
2. Quantity - Flooding (rank)	165	2. Quantity - Flooding (rank)	
3. Quality (score)	1.00	3. Quality (score)	
3. Quality (rank)	192	3. Quality (rank)	
4. Ecosystem Service Status (score)	1.50	4. Ecosystem Service Status (score)	
4. Ecosystem Service Status (rank)	169	4. Ecosystem Service Status (rank)	
5. Enabling Environment (Policy & Laws) (score)	4.00	5. Enabling Environment (Policy & Laws) (score)	
5. Enabling Environment (Policy & Laws) (rank)	14	5. Enabling Environment (Policy & Laws) (rank)	
6. Institutions and Governance (score)	2.75	6. Institutions and Governance (score)	
6. Institutions and Governance (rank)	129	6. Institutions and Governance (rank)	
7. Management Instruments (score)	3.15	7. Management Instruments (score)	
7. Management Instruments (rank)	56	7. Management Instruments (rank)	
8 - Infrastructure & Finance (score)	2.30	8 - Infrastructure & Finance (score)	
8 - Infrastructure & Finance (rank)	85	8 - Infrastructure & Finance (rank)	
9. Cultural Diversity (score)	1.00	9. Cultural importance (score)	
9. Cultural Diversity (rank)	190	9. Cultural importance (rank)	
10. Biodiversity Importance (score)	4.99	10. Biodiversity importance (score)	

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
10. Biodiversity Importance (rank)	5	10. Biodiversity importance (rank)	
11. Media Scrutiny (score)	3.10	11. Media Scrutiny (score)	
11. Media Scrutiny (rank)	64	11. Media Scrutiny (rank)	
12. Conflict (score)	1.71	12. Conflict (score)	
12. Conflict (rank)	177	12. Conflict (rank)	
1.0 - Aridity (score)	1.00	The aridity risk indicator is based on the Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) and Global Potential Evapo-Transpiration (Global-PET) Geospatial data sets by Trabucco and Zomer (2009). These data sets provide information about the potential availability of water in regions with low water demand, thus they are used in the Water Risk Filter 5.0 to better account for deserts and other arid areas in the risk assessment.	Trabucco, A., & Zomer, R. J. (2009). Global potential evapo-transpiration (Global-PET) and global aridity index (Global-Aridity) geodatabase. CGIAR consortium for spatial information.
1.0 - Aridity (rank)	186	The aridity risk indicator is based on the Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) and Global Potential Evapo-Transpiration (Global-PET) Geospatial data sets by Trabucco and Zomer (2009). These data sets provide information about the potential availability of water in regions with low water demand, thus they are used in the Water Risk Filter 5.0 to better account for deserts and other arid areas in the risk assessment.	Trabucco, A., & Zomer, R. J. (2009). Global potential evapo-transpiration (Global-PET) and global aridity index (Global-Aridity) geodatabase. CGIAR consortium for spatial information.
1.1 - Water Depletion (score)	1.03	The water depletion risk indicator is based on annual average monthly net water depletion from Brauman et al. (2016). Their analysis is based on model outputs from the newest version of the integrated water resources model WaterGAP3 which measures water depletion as the ratio of water consumption-to-availability.	Brauman, K. A., Richter, B. D., Postel, S., Malsy, M., & Flörke, M. (2016). Water depletion: An improved metric for incorporating seasonal and dry-year water scarcity into water risk assessments. <i>Elem Sci Anth</i> , 4.
1.1 - Water Depletion (rank)	156	The water depletion risk indicator is based on annual average monthly net water depletion from Brauman et al. (2016). Their analysis is based on model outputs from the newest version of the integrated water resources model WaterGAP3 which measures water depletion as the ratio of water consumption-to-availability.	Brauman, K. A., Richter, B. D., Postel, S., Malsy, M., & Flörke, M. (2016). Water depletion: An improved metric for incorporating seasonal and dry-year water scarcity into water risk assessments. <i>Elem Sci Anth</i> , 4.
1.2 - Baseline Water Stress (score)	1.00	World Resources Institute's Baseline Water Stress measures the ratio of total annual water withdrawals to total available annual renewable supply, accounting for upstream consumptive use. A higher percentage indicates more competition among users.	Hofste, R., Kuzma, S., Walker, S., ... & Sutanudjaja, E.H. (2019). <i>Aqueduct 3.0: Updated decision relevant global water risk indicators</i> . Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
1.2 - Baseline Water Stress (rank)	192	World Resources Institute's Baseline Water Stress measures the ratio of total annual water withdrawals to total available annual renewable supply, accounting for upstream consumptive use. A higher percentage indicates more competition among users.	Hofste, R., Kuzma, S., Walker, S., ... & Sutanudjaja, E.H. (2019). Aqueduct 3.0: Updated decision relevant global water risk indicators. Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.
1.3 - Blue Water Scarcity (score)	1.11	The blue water scarcity risk indicator is based on Mekonnen and Hoekstra (2016) global assessment of blue water scarcity on a monthly basis and at high spatial resolution (grid cells of 30 × 30 arc min resolution). Blue water scarcity is calculated as the ratio of the blue water footprint in a grid cell to the total blue water availability in the cell. The time period analyzed in this study ranges from 1996 to 2005.	Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2016). Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. <i>Science advances</i> , 2(2), e1500323.
1.3 - Blue Water Scarcity (rank)	160	The blue water scarcity risk indicator is based on Mekonnen and Hoekstra (2016) global assessment of blue water scarcity on a monthly basis and at high spatial resolution (grid cells of 30 × 30 arc min resolution). Blue water scarcity is calculated as the ratio of the blue water footprint in a grid cell to the total blue water availability in the cell. The time period analyzed in this study ranges from 1996 to 2005.	Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2016). Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. <i>Science advances</i> , 2(2), e1500323.
1.4 - Projected Change in Water Discharge (by ~2050) (score)	2.18	This risk indicator is based on multi-model simulation that applies both global climate and hydrological models from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP). To estimate the change at 2°C of global warming above 1980-2010 levels, simulated annual water discharge was averaged over a 31-year period with 2°C mean warming. Results are expressed in terms of relative change (%) in probability between present day (1980-2010) conditions and 2°C scenarios by 2050.	Schewe, J., Heinke, J., Gerten, D., Haddeland, I., Arnell, N. W., Clark, D. B., ... & Gosling, S. N. (2014). Multimodel assessment of water scarcity under climate change. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</i> , 111(9), 3245-3250.
1.4 - Projected Change in Water Discharge (by ~2050) (rank)	67	This risk indicator is based on multi-model simulation that applies both global climate and hydrological models from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP). To estimate the change at 2°C of global warming above 1980-2010 levels, simulated annual water discharge was averaged over a 31-year period with 2°C mean warming. Results are expressed in terms of relative change (%) in probability between present day (1980-2010) conditions and 2°C scenarios by 2050.	Schewe, J., Heinke, J., Gerten, D., Haddeland, I., Arnell, N. W., Clark, D. B., ... & Gosling, S. N. (2014). Multimodel assessment of water scarcity under climate change. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</i> , 111(9), 3245-3250.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
1.5 - Drought Frequency Probability (score)	1.45	This risk indicator is based on the Standardized Precipitation and Evaporation Index (SPEI). Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010) developed this multi-scalar drought index applying both precipitation and temperature data to detect, monitor and analyze different drought types and impacts in the context of global warming. The mathematical calculations used for SPEI are similar to the Standard Precipitation Index (SPI), but it has the advantage to include the role of evapotranspiration.	Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., & López-Moreno, J. I. (2010). A multiscale drought index sensitive to global warming: the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. <i>Journal of climate</i> , 23(7), 1696-1718.
1.5 - Drought Frequency Probability (rank)	178	This risk indicator is based on the Standardized Precipitation and Evaporation Index (SPEI). Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010) developed this multi-scalar drought index applying both precipitation and temperature data to detect, monitor and analyze different drought types and impacts in the context of global warming. The mathematical calculations used for SPEI are similar to the Standard Precipitation Index (SPI), but it has the advantage to include the role of evapotranspiration.	Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., & López-Moreno, J. I. (2010). A multiscale drought index sensitive to global warming: the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. <i>Journal of climate</i> , 23(7), 1696-1718.
1.6 - Projected Change in Drought Occurrence (by ~2050) (score)	2.88	This risk indicator is based on multi-model simulation that applies both global climate and drought models from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP) . A drought threshold for pre-industrial conditions was calculated based on time-series averages. Results are expressed in terms of relative change (%) in probability between pre-industrial and 2°C scenarios.	Frieler, K., Lange, S., Piontek, F., Reyer, C. P., Schewe, J., Warszawski, L., ... & Geiger, T. (2017). Assessing the impacts of 1.5 C global warming-simulation protocol of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). Geoscientific Model Development.
1.6 - Projected Change in Drought Occurrence (by ~2050) (rank)	175	This risk indicator is based on multi-model simulation that applies both global climate and drought models from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP) . A drought threshold for pre-industrial conditions was calculated based on time-series averages. Results are expressed in terms of relative change (%) in probability between pre-industrial and 2°C scenarios.	Frieler, K., Lange, S., Piontek, F., Reyer, C. P., Schewe, J., Warszawski, L., ... & Geiger, T. (2017). Assessing the impacts of 1.5 C global warming-simulation protocol of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). Geoscientific Model Development.
2.1 - Estimated Flood Occurrence (score)	1.95	This risk indicator is based on the recurrence of floods within the 34-year time frame period of 1985 to 2019. The occurrence of floods within a given location was estimated using data from Flood Observatory, University of Colorado. The Flood Observatory use data derived from a wide variety of news, governmental, instrumental, and remote sensing source.	Brakenridge, G. R. (2019). Global active archive of large flood events. Dartmouth Flood Observatory, University of Colorado.
2.1 - Estimated Flood Occurrence (rank)	165	This risk indicator is based on the recurrence of floods within the 34-year time frame period of 1985 to 2019. The occurrence of floods within a given location was estimated using data from Flood Observatory, University of Colorado. The Flood Observatory use data derived from a wide variety of news, governmental, instrumental, and remote sensing source.	Brakenridge, G. R. (2019). Global active archive of large flood events. Dartmouth Flood Observatory, University of Colorado.

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
2.2 - Projected Change in Flood Occurrence (by ~2050) (score)	2.09	This risk indicator is based on multi-model simulation that applies both global climate and drought models from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP). The magnitude of the flood event was defined based on 100-year return period for pre-industrial conditions. Results are expressed in terms of change (%) in probability between pre-industrial and 2°C scenarios.	Frieler, K., Lange, S., Piontek, F., Reyer, C. P., Schewe, J., Warszawski, L., ... & Geiger, T. (2017). Assessing the impacts of 1.5 C global warming-simulation protocol of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). Geoscientific Model Development.
2.2 - Projected Change in Flood Occurrence (by ~2050) (rank)	116	This risk indicator is based on multi-model simulation that applies both global climate and drought models from the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP). The magnitude of the flood event was defined based on 100-year return period for pre-industrial conditions. Results are expressed in terms of change (%) in probability between pre-industrial and 2°C scenarios.	Frieler, K., Lange, S., Piontek, F., Reyer, C. P., Schewe, J., Warszawski, L., ... & Geiger, T. (2017). Assessing the impacts of 1.5 C global warming-simulation protocol of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). Geoscientific Model Development.
3.1 - Surface Water Contamination Index (score)	1.00	<p>The underlying data for this risk indicator is based on a broad suite of pollutants with well-documented direct or indirect negative effects on water security for both humans and freshwater biodiversity, compiled by Vörösmarty et al. (2010). The negative effects are specific to individual pollutants, ranging from impacts mediated by eutrophication such as algal blooms and oxygen depletion (e.g., caused by phosphorus and organic loading) to direct toxic effects (e.g., caused by pesticides, mercury).</p> <p>The overall Surface Water Contamination Index is calculated based on a range of key pollutants with different weightings according to the level of their negative effects on water security for both humans and freshwater biodiversity: soil salinization (8%), nitrogen (12%) and phosphorus (P, 13%) loading, mercury deposition (5%), pesticide loading (10%), sediment loading (17%), organic loading (as Biological Oxygen Demand, BOD; 15%), potential acidification (9%), and thermal alteration (11%).</p>	Vörösmarty, C. J., McIntyre, P. B., Gessner, M. O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., ... & Davies, P. M. (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. <i>Nature</i> , 467(7315), 555.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
3.1 - Surface Water Contamination Index (rank)	192	<p>The underlying data for this risk indicator is based on a broad suite of pollutants with well-documented direct or indirect negative effects on water security for both humans and freshwater biodiversity, compiled by Vörösmarty et al. (2010). The negative effects are specific to individual pollutants, ranging from impacts mediated by eutrophication such as algal blooms and oxygen depletion (e.g., caused by phosphorus and organic loading) to direct toxic effects (e.g., caused by pesticides, mercury).</p> <p>The overall Surface Water Contamination Index is calculated based on a range of key pollutants with different weightings according to the level of their negative effects on water security for both humans and freshwater biodiversity: soil salinization (8%), nitrogen (12%) and phosphorus (P, 13%) loading, mercury deposition (5%), pesticide loading (10%), sediment loading (17%), organic loading (as Biological Oxygen Demand, BOD; 15%), potential acidification (9%), and thermal alteration (11%).</p>	Vörösmarty, C. J., McIntyre, P. B., Gessner, M. O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., ... & Davies, P. M. (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. <i>Nature</i> , 467(7315), 555.
4.1 - Fragmentation Status of Rivers (score)	1.35	<p>This risk indicator is based on the data set by Grill et al. (2019) mapping the world's free-flowing rivers. Grill et al. (2019) compiled a geometric network of the global river system and associated attributes, such as hydro-geometric properties, as well as pressure indicators to calculate an integrated connectivity status index (CSI). While only rivers with high levels of connectivity in their entire length are classified as free-flowing, rivers of CSI < 95% are considered as fragmented at a certain degree.</p>	Grill, G., Lehner, B., Thieme, M., Geenen, B., Tickner, D., Antonelli, F., ... & Macedo, H. E. (2019). Mapping the world's free-flowing rivers. <i>Nature</i> , 569(7755), 215.
4.1 - Fragmentation Status of Rivers (rank)	166	<p>This risk indicator is based on the data set by Grill et al. (2019) mapping the world's free-flowing rivers. Grill et al. (2019) compiled a geometric network of the global river system and associated attributes, such as hydro-geometric properties, as well as pressure indicators to calculate an integrated connectivity status index (CSI). While only rivers with high levels of connectivity in their entire length are classified as free-flowing, rivers of CSI < 95% are considered as fragmented at a certain degree.</p>	Grill, G., Lehner, B., Thieme, M., Geenen, B., Tickner, D., Antonelli, F., ... & Macedo, H. E. (2019). Mapping the world's free-flowing rivers. <i>Nature</i> , 569(7755), 215.
4.2 - Catchment Ecosystem Services Degradation Level (tree cover loss) (score)	1.82	<p>For this risk indicator, tree cover loss was applied as a proxy to represent catchment ecosystem services degradation since forests play an important role in terms of water regulation, supply and pollution control. The forest cover data is based on Hansen et al.'s global Landsat data at a 30-meter spatial resolution to characterize forest cover and change. The authors defined trees as vegetation taller than 5 meters in height, and forest cover loss as a stand-replacement disturbance, or a change from a forest to non-forest state, during the period 2000 – 2018.</p>	Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A. A., Tyukavina, A., ... & Kommareddy, A. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. <i>science</i> , 342(6160), 850-853.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
4.2 - Catchment Ecosystem Services Degradation Level (tree cover loss) (rank)	102	<p>For this risk indicator, tree cover loss was applied as a proxy to represent catchment ecosystem services degradation since forests play an important role in terms of water regulation, supply and pollution control.</p> <p>The forest cover data is based on Hansen et al.'s global Landsat data at a 30-meter spatial resolution to characterize forest cover and change. The authors defined trees as vegetation taller than 5 meters in height, and forest cover loss as a stand-replacement disturbance, or a change from a forest to non-forest state, during the period 2000 – 2018.</p>	Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A. A., Tyukavina, A., ... & Kommareddy, A. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. <i>science</i> , 342(6160), 850-853.
4.3 - Projected Impacts on Freshwater Biodiversity (score)	2.00	The study by Tedesco et al. (2013) to project changes [% increase or decrease] in extinction rate by ~2090 of freshwater fish due to water availability loss from climate change is used as a proxy to estimate the projected impacts on freshwater biodiversity.	Tedesco, P. A., Oberdorff, T., Cornu, J. F., Beauchard, O., Brosse, S., Dürr, H. H., ... & Hugueny, B. (2013). A scenario for impacts of water availability loss due to climate change on riverine fish extinction rates. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 50(5), 1105-1115.
4.3 - Projected Impacts on Freshwater Biodiversity (rank)	150	The study by Tedesco et al. (2013) to project changes [% increase or decrease] in extinction rate by ~2090 of freshwater fish due to water availability loss from climate change is used as a proxy to estimate the projected impacts on freshwater biodiversity.	Tedesco, P. A., Oberdorff, T., Cornu, J. F., Beauchard, O., Brosse, S., Dürr, H. H., ... & Hugueny, B. (2013). A scenario for impacts of water availability loss due to climate change on riverine fish extinction rates. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 50(5), 1105-1115.
5.1 - Freshwater Policy Status (SDG 6.5.1) (score)	4.00	This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "National Water Resources Policy" indicator, which corresponds to one of the three national level indicators under the Enabling Environment category.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
5.1 - Freshwater Policy Status (SDG 6.5.1) (rank)	32	This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "National Water Resources Policy" indicator, which corresponds to one of the three national level indicators under the Enabling Environment category.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
5.2 - Freshwater Law Status (SDG 6.5.1) (score)	4.00	<p>This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "National Water Resources Law(s)" indicator, which corresponds to one of the three national level indicators under the Enabling Environment category.</p> <p>For SDG 6.5.1, enabling environment depicts the conditions that help to support the implementation of IWRM, which includes legal and strategic planning tools for IWRM.</p>	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
5.2 - Freshwater Law Status (SDG 6.5.1) (rank)	36	<p>This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation “National Water Resources Law(s)” indicator, which corresponds to one of the three national level indicators under the Enabling Environment category.</p> <p>For SDG 6.5.1, enabling environment depicts the conditions that help to support the implementation of IWRM, which includes legal and strategic planning tools for IWRM.</p>	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
5.3 - Implementation Status of Water Management Plans (SDG 6.5.1) (score)	4.00	<p>This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation “National IWRM plans” indicator, which corresponds to one of the three national level indicators under the Enabling Environment category.</p> <p>For SDG 6.5.1, enabling environment depicts the conditions that help to support the implementation of IWRM, which includes legal and strategic planning tools for IWRM.</p>	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
5.3 - Implementation Status of Water Management Plans (SDG 6.5.1) (rank)	41	<p>This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation “National IWRM plans” indicator, which corresponds to one of the three national level indicators under the Enabling Environment category.</p> <p>For SDG 6.5.1, enabling environment depicts the conditions that help to support the implementation of IWRM, which includes legal and strategic planning tools for IWRM.</p>	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
6.1 - Corruption Perceptions Index (score)	3.00	<p>This risk Indicator is based on the latest Transparency International's data: the Corruption Perceptions Index 2018. This index aggregates data from a number of different sources that provide perceptions of business people and country experts on the level of corruption in the public sector.</p>	Transparency International (2019). Corruption Perceptions Index 2018. Berlin: Transparency International.
6.1 - Corruption Perceptions Index (rank)	157	<p>This risk Indicator is based on the latest Transparency International's data: the Corruption Perceptions Index 2018. This index aggregates data from a number of different sources that provide perceptions of business people and country experts on the level of corruption in the public sector.</p>	Transparency International (2019). Corruption Perceptions Index 2018. Berlin: Transparency International.
6.2 - Freedom in the World Index (score)	1.00	<p>This risk indicator is based on Freedom House (2019), an annual global report on political rights and civil liberties, composed of numerical ratings and descriptive texts for each country and a select group of territories. The 2019 edition involved more than 100 analysts and more than 30 advisers with global, regional, and issue-based expertise to covers developments in 195 countries and 14 territories from January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018.</p>	Freedom House (2019). Freedom in the world 2019. Washington, DC: Freedom House.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
6.2 - Freedom in the World Index (rank)	188	This risk indicator is based on Freedom House (2019), an annual global report on political rights and civil liberties, composed of numerical ratings and descriptive texts for each country and a select group of territories. The 2019 edition involved more than 100 analysts and more than 30 advisers with global, regional, and issue-based expertise to covers developments in 195 countries and 14 territories from January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018.	Freedom House (2019). Freedom in the world 2019. Washington, DC: Freedom House.
6.3 - Business Participation in Water Management (SDG 6.5.1) (score)	4.00	This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "Business Participation in Water Resources Development, Management and Use" indicator, which corresponds to one of the six national level indicators under the Institutions and Participation category.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
6.3 - Business Participation in Water Management (SDG 6.5.1) (rank)	43	This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "Business Participation in Water Resources Development, Management and Use" indicator, which corresponds to one of the six national level indicators under the Institutions and Participation category.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
7.1 - Management Instruments for Water Management (SDG 6.5.1) (score)	3.00	This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "Sustainable and efficient water use management" indicator, which corresponds to one of the five national level indicators under the Management Instruments category. For SDG 6.5.1, management instruments refer to the tools and activities that enable decision-makers and users to make rational and informed choices between alternative actions.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
7.1 - Management Instruments for Water Management (SDG 6.5.1) (rank)	112	This risk indicator is based on SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation "Sustainable and efficient water use management" indicator, which corresponds to one of the five national level indicators under the Management Instruments category. For SDG 6.5.1, management instruments refer to the tools and activities that enable decision-makers and users to make rational and informed choices between alternative actions.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
7.2 - Groundwater Monitoring Data Availability and Management (score)	3.00	This risk indicator is based on the data set by UN IGRAC (2019) to determine the level of availability of groundwater monitoring data at country level as groundwater management decisions rely strongly on data availability. The level of groundwater monitoring data availability for groundwater management is determined according to a combination of three criteria developed by WWF and IGRAC: 1) Status of country groundwater monitoring programme, 2) groundwater data availability for NGOs and 3) Public access to processed groundwater monitoring data.	UN IGRAC (2019). Global Groundwater Monitoring Network GGMM Portal. UN International Groundwater Resources Assessment Centre (IGRAC).
7.2 - Groundwater Monitoring Data Availability and Management (rank)	140	This risk indicator is based on the data set by UN IGRAC (2019) to determine the level of availability of groundwater monitoring data at country level as groundwater management decisions rely strongly on data availability. The level of groundwater monitoring data availability for groundwater management is determined according to a combination of three criteria developed by WWF and IGRAC: 1) Status of country groundwater monitoring programme, 2) groundwater data availability for NGOs and 3) Public access to processed groundwater monitoring data.	UN IGRAC (2019). Global Groundwater Monitoring Network GGMM Portal. UN International Groundwater Resources Assessment Centre (IGRAC).
7.3 - Density of Runoff Monitoring Stations (score)	3.97	The density of monitoring stations for water quantity was applied as proxy to develop this risk indicator. The Global Runoff Data Base was used to estimate the number of monitoring stations per 1000km ² of the main river system (data base access date: May 2018).	BfG (2019). Global Runoff Data Base. German Federal Institute of Hydrology (BfG).
7.3 - Density of Runoff Monitoring Stations (rank)	54	The density of monitoring stations for water quantity was applied as proxy to develop this risk indicator. The Global Runoff Data Base was used to estimate the number of monitoring stations per 1000km ² of the main river system (data base access date: May 2018).	BfG (2019). Global Runoff Data Base. German Federal Institute of Hydrology (BfG).
8.1 - Access to Safe Drinking Water (score)	1.00	This risk indicator is based on the Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene (UNICEF/WHO) 2019 data. It provides estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country for the period 2000-2017.	WHO & UNICEF (2019). Estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country (2000-2017). Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene.
8.1 - Access to Safe Drinking Water (rank)	185	This risk indicator is based on the Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene (UNICEF/WHO) 2019 data. It provides estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country for the period 2000-2017.	WHO & UNICEF (2019). Estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country (2000-2017). Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene.
8.2 - Access to Sanitation (score)	3.00	This risk indicator is based on the Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene (UNICEF/WHO) 2019 data. It provides estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country for the period 2000-2017.	WHO & UNICEF (2019). Estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country (2000-2017). Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
8.2 - Access to Sanitation (rank)	99	This risk indicator is based on the Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene (UNICEF/WHO) 2019 data. It provides estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country for the period 2000-2017.	WHO & UNICEF (2019). Estimates on the use of water, sanitation and hygiene by country (2000-2017). Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene.
8.3 - Financing for Water Resource Development and Management (SDG 6.5.1) (score)	5.00	This risk indicator is based on the average 'Financing' score of UN SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation database. UN SDG 6.5.1 database contains a category on financing which assesses different aspects related to budgeting and financing made available and used for water resources development and management from various sources.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
8.3 - Financing for Water Resource Development and Management (SDG 6.5.1) (rank)	9	This risk indicator is based on the average 'Financing' score of UN SDG 6.5.1. Degree of IWRM Implementation database. UN SDG 6.5.1 database contains a category on financing which assesses different aspects related to budgeting and financing made available and used for water resources development and management from various sources.	UN Environment (2018). Progress on integrated water resources management. Global baseline for SDG 6 Indicator 6.5.1: degree of IWRM implementation.
9.1 - Cultural Diversity (score)	1.00	Water is a social and cultural good. The cultural diversity risk indicator was included in order to acknowledge that businesses face reputational risk due to the importance of freshwater for indigenous and traditional people in their daily life, religion and culture. This risk indicator is based on Oviedo and Larsen (2000) data set, which mapped the world's ethnolinguistic groups onto the WWF map of the world's ecoregions. This cross-mapping showed for the very first time the significant overlap that exists between the global geographic distribution of biodiversity and that of linguistic diversity.	Oviedo, G., Maffi, L., & Larsen, P. B. (2000). Indigenous and traditional peoples of the world and ecoregion conservation: An integrated approach to conserving the world's biological and cultural diversity. Gland: WWF (World Wide Fund for Nature) International.
9.1 - Cultural Diversity (rank)	190	Water is a social and cultural good. The cultural diversity risk indicator was included in order to acknowledge that businesses face reputational risk due to the importance of freshwater for indigenous and traditional people in their daily life, religion and culture. This risk indicator is based on Oviedo and Larsen (2000) data set, which mapped the world's ethnolinguistic groups onto the WWF map of the world's ecoregions. This cross-mapping showed for the very first time the significant overlap that exists between the global geographic distribution of biodiversity and that of linguistic diversity.	Oviedo, G., Maffi, L., & Larsen, P. B. (2000). Indigenous and traditional peoples of the world and ecoregion conservation: An integrated approach to conserving the world's biological and cultural diversity. Gland: WWF (World Wide Fund for Nature) International.
10.1 - Freshwater Endemism (score)	4.97	The underlying data set for this risk indicator comes from the Freshwater Ecoregions of the World (FEOW) 2015 data developed by WWF and TNC. Companies operating in basins with higher number of endemic fish species are exposed to higher reputational risks.	WWF & TNC (2015). Freshwater Ecoregions of the World.

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
10.1 - Freshwater Endemism (rank)	32	The underlying data set for this risk indicator comes from the Freshwater Ecoregions of the World (FEOW) 2015 data developed by WWF and TNC. Companies operating in basins with higher number of endemic fish species are exposed to higher reputational risks.	WWF & TNC (2015). Freshwater Ecoregions of the World.
10.2 - Freshwater Biodiversity Richness (score)	5.00	The underlying data set for this risk indicator comes from the Freshwater Ecoregions of the World (FEOW) 2015 data developed by WWF and TNC. Count of fish species is used as a representation of freshwater biodiversity richness. Companies operating in basins with higher number of fish species are exposed to higher reputational risks.	WWF & TNC (2015). Freshwater Ecoregions of the World.
10.2 - Freshwater Biodiversity Richness (rank)	20	The underlying data set for this risk indicator comes from the Freshwater Ecoregions of the World (FEOW) 2015 data developed by WWF and TNC. Count of fish species is used as a representation of freshwater biodiversity richness. Companies operating in basins with higher number of fish species are exposed to higher reputational risks.	WWF & TNC (2015). Freshwater Ecoregions of the World.
11.1 - National Media Coverage (score)	4.00	This risk indicator is based on joint qualitative research by WWF and Tecnomia (Typsa Group). It indicates how aware local residents typically are of water-related issues due to national media coverage. The status of the river basin (e.g., scarcity and pollution) is taken into account, as well as the importance of water for livelihoods (e.g., food and shelter).	WWF & Tecnomia (TYPESA Group)
11.1 - National Media Coverage (rank)	64	This risk indicator is based on joint qualitative research by WWF and Tecnomia (Typsa Group). It indicates how aware local residents typically are of water-related issues due to national media coverage. The status of the river basin (e.g., scarcity and pollution) is taken into account, as well as the importance of water for livelihoods (e.g., food and shelter).	WWF & Tecnomia (TYPESA Group)
11.2 - Global Media Coverage (score)	2.00	This risk indicator is based on joint qualitative research by WWF and Tecnomia (Typsa Group). It indicates how aware people are of water-related issues due to global media coverage. Familiarity to and media coverage of the region and regional water-related disasters are taken into account.	WWF & Tecnomia (TYPESA Group)
11.2 - Global Media Coverage (rank)	150	This risk indicator is based on joint qualitative research by WWF and Tecnomia (Typsa Group). It indicates how aware people are of water-related issues due to global media coverage. Familiarity to and media coverage of the region and regional water-related disasters are taken into account.	WWF & Tecnomia (TYPESA Group)

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
12.1 - Conflict News Events (RepRisk) (score)	2.00	This risk indicator is based on 2018 data collected by RepRisk on counts and registers of documented negative incidents, criticism and controversies that can affect a company's reputational risk. These negative news events are labelled per country and industry class.	RepRisk & WWF (2019). Due diligence database on ESG and business conduct risks. RepRisk.
12.1 - Conflict News Events (RepRisk) (rank)	151	This risk indicator is based on 2018 data collected by RepRisk on counts and registers of documented negative incidents, criticism and controversies that can affect a company's reputational risk. These negative news events are labelled per country and industry class.	RepRisk & WWF (2019). Due diligence database on ESG and business conduct risks. RepRisk.
12.2 - Hydro-political Risk (score)	1.42	This risk indicator is based on the assessment of hydro-political risk by Farinosi et al. (2018). More specifically, it is based on the results of spatial modelling by Farinosi et al. (2018) that determined the main parameters affecting water cross-border conflicts and calculated the likelihood of hydro-political issues.	Farinosi, F., Giupponi, C., Reynaud, A., Ceccherini, G., Carmona-Moreno, C., De Roo, A., ... & Bidoglio, G. (2018). An innovative approach to the assessment of hydro-political risk: A spatially explicit, data driven indicator of hydro-political issues. <i>Global environmental change</i> , 52, 286-313.
12.2 - Hydro-political Risk (rank)	171	This risk indicator is based on the assessment of hydro-political risk by Farinosi et al. (2018). More specifically, it is based on the results of spatial modelling by Farinosi et al. (2018) that determined the main parameters affecting water cross-border conflicts and calculated the likelihood of hydro-political issues.	Farinosi, F., Giupponi, C., Reynaud, A., Ceccherini, G., Carmona-Moreno, C., De Roo, A., ... & Bidoglio, G. (2018). An innovative approach to the assessment of hydro-political risk: A spatially explicit, data driven indicator of hydro-political issues. <i>Global environmental change</i> , 52, 286-313.
Population, total (#)	558368	Population, total	The World Bank 2018, Data , homepage accessed 20/04/2018
GDP (current US\$)	3278425328	GDP (current US\$)	The World Bank 2018, Data , homepage accessed 20/04/2018
EPI 2018 score (0-100)	54.20	Environmental Performance Index	
WGI -Voice and Accountability (0-100)	56.19	Water Governance Indicator	Kaufmann, Daniel and Kraay, Aart and Mastruzzi, Massimo, <i>The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues</i> (September 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5430. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682132

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
WGI -Political stability no violence (0-100)	61.08	Water Governance Indicator	Kaufmann, Daniel and Kraay, Aart and Mastruzzi, Massimo, The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues (September 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5430. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682132
WGI - Government Effectiveness (0-100)	40.38	Water Governance Indicator	Kaufmann, Daniel and Kraay, Aart and Mastruzzi, Massimo, The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues (September 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5430. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682132
WGI - Regulatory Quality (0-100)	27.88	Water Governance Indicator	Kaufmann, Daniel and Kraay, Aart and Mastruzzi, Massimo, The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues (September 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5430. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682132
WGI - Rule of Law (0-100)	49.52	Water Governance Indicator	Kaufmann, Daniel and Kraay, Aart and Mastruzzi, Massimo, The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues (September 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5430. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682132
WGI - Control of Corruption (0-100)	44.71	Water Governance Indicator	Kaufmann, Daniel and Kraay, Aart and Mastruzzi, Massimo, The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues (September 2010). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5430. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682132

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
WRI BWS all industries (0-5)	0.25	WRI Baseline Water Stress (BWS)	Gassert, F., P. Reig, T. Luo, and A. Maddocks. 2013. "Aqueduct country and river basin rankings: a weighted aggregation of spatially distinct hydrological indicators." Working paper. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, December 2013. Available online at http://wri.org/publication/aqueduct-country-river-basin-rankings .
WRI BWS Ranking (1=very high)	151	WRI Baseline Water Stress (BWS)	Gassert, F., P. Reig, T. Luo, and A. Maddocks. 2013. "Aqueduct country and river basin rankings: a weighted aggregation of spatially distinct hydrological indicators." Working paper. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, December 2013. Available online at http://wri.org/publication/aqueduct-country-river-basin-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2020 BAU (1=very high)	138	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2020 Optimistic (increasing rank describes lower risk)	138	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2020 Pessimistic (increasing rank describes lower risk)	137	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2030 BAU (increasing rank describes lower risk)	137	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2030 Optimistic (increasing rank describes lower risk)	138	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2030 Pessimistic (increasing rank describes lower risk)	138	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2040 BAU (increasing rank describes lower risk)	144	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2040 Optimistic (increasing rank describes lower risk)	145	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .
Baseline Water Stress (BWS) - 2040 Pessimistic (increasing rank describes lower risk)	141	WRI country ranking	Luo, T., R. Young, and P. Reig. 2015. "Aqueduct projected water stress rankings." Technical note. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, August 215. Available online at http://www.wri.org/publication/aqueduct-projected-water-stress-country-rankings .

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
Total water footprint of national consumption (m ³ /a/cap)	1346.92	WFN Water Footprint Data	Mekonnen, M.M. and Hoekstra, A.Y. (2011) National water footprint accounts: The green, blue and grey water footprint of production and consumption, Value of Water Research Report Series No. 50, UNESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands. http://www.waterfootprint.org/Reports/Report50-NationalWaterFootprints-Vol1.pdf
Ratio external / total water footprint (%)	23.78	WFN Water Footprint Data	Mekonnen, M.M. and Hoekstra, A.Y. (2011) National water footprint accounts: The green, blue and grey water footprint of production and consumption, Value of Water Research Report Series No. 50, UNESCO-IHE, Delft, the Netherlands. http://www.waterfootprint.org/Reports/Report50-NationalWaterFootprints-Vol1.pdf
Area equipped for full control irrigation: total (1000 ha)	57.00	Aquastat - Irrigation	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
Area equipped for irrigation: total (1000 ha)	57.00	Aquastat - Irrigation	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
% of the area equipped for irrigation actually irrigated (%)	100.00	Aquastat - Irrigation	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
Electricity production from hydroelectric sources (% of total)	62.34	World Development Indicators	The World Bank 2018, Data , homepage accessed 20/04/2018
Total internal renewable water resources (IRWR) (10 ⁹ m ³ /year)	99.00	Aquastat - Water Ressources	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
Total internal renewable water resources (IRWR) (10 ⁹ m ³ /year)	0.00	Aquastat - Water Ressources	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
Water resources: total external renewable (10 ⁹ m ³ /year)	99.00	Aquastat - Water Ressources	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13

Country Overview - Suriname

Indicator	Value	Description	Source
Total renewable water resources (10 ⁹ m ³ /year)	99.00	Aquastat - Water Ressources	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
Dependency ratio (%)	0.00	Aquastat - Water Ressources	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
Total renewable water resources per capita (m ³ /inhab/year)	182320.00	Aquastat - Water Ressources	FAO. 2016. AQUASTAT website. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Website accessed on 2018/04/13
World happiness [0-8]	0.00	WorldHappinessReport.org	World Happiness Report, homepage accessed 20/04/2018

Country Aspects

1. PHYSICAL ASPECTS

1.1. WATER RESOURCES

1.1.1. WATER RESOURCES

Suriname is rich in hydrologic resources. Its abundance of water is considered “white gold” (Webster, 2001).

Annual average rainfall in Suriname is 2,200mm or 355km³/yr in the country's territory. About 50 per cent of Suriname's annual rainfall occurs during the four months of the long wet season, while about 20 per cent occurs during the long dry season. It is considered that evapotranspiration and evaporation losses represent 49 per cent of this precipitation. Internal renewable water resources are about 88km³/yr. Suriname's major rivers flow northward into the Atlantic.

In the savannah belt, average annual precipitation rates vary from 1,900 to 2,600mm. The infiltration and percolation of rainwater in this province is high, and the surface runoff is small. Average annual precipitation rates in the coastal plain vary from 1,500 to 2,500mm (Webster, 2001).

Suriname has seven major streams draining toward the Atlantic Ocean. The largest are the Marowijne and Corantijn (Courantyne) rivers that form the country's borders, respectively, in the east and the west. These two rivers flow northward and drain almost 58 per cent of the country. The Coppename and the Suriname rivers also flow northward and together drain about 24 per cent of the country. The smallest rivers are the Nickerie, Saramacca and Commewijne rivers, which drain 16 per cent of the country. The final 2 per cent of the country consists of coastal areas with direct drainage into the Atlantic Ocean. The three smallest streams flow northward parallel to the ocean before they deflect westward and join with a larger river before reaching the Atlantic (Webster, 2001).

Suriname does not have many fresh water lakes. Brokopondo Lake (Brokopondo Meer) was created by damming the Suriname River. It covers an area of 1,560km² and is very low in dissolved oxygen because of vegetation drowned when the reservoir was constructed. Nani Lake (Nani Meer), in the Nickerie Distrikt, is the only natural fresh water lake. Many exhausted bauxite mines have turned into small lakes. Topibo Lake (Topibo Meer) is a large red-mud lake complex at the old mining complex near Paranam (Webster, 2001).

There is one hydroelectric dam in the Suriname River at Atobakka. In the past, there were plans to use part of this water for agricultural purposes.

1.1.2. WATER USE

Water withdrawal in 1987 was 460 million m³, of which 6 per cent was for the domestic sector, 5

per cent for industrial purposes and the remaining 89 per cent for agriculture. Only surface water is used for agricultural purposes, while groundwater is used as drinking water because of its high quality and the relatively higher extraction cost.

In urban areas, approximately 95 per cent of the population has access to running water (90 per cent by house connections) but only some 3 per cent of the population has sewerage connections. In rural areas about 70 per cent of the population has running water in the house.

Typically, the sewage from individual houses in the Paramaribo area is treated by septic tanks, causing pollution problems during heavy rainfalls.

The groundwater resources of Suriname are used for public supply and to a lesser extent for industry. Ninety-five per cent of the country's total supply of potable water comes from groundwater (Webster, 2001).

1.2. WATER QUALITY, ECOSYSTEMS AND HUMAN HEALTH

Surface water quality in urban, as well as rural areas, is under severe stress due to poor sanitary practices, and industrial and mining activities. Saltwater intrusion in the groundwater is also becoming more of a problem in the coastal areas and in the water supply wells for Paramaribo. To counteract this, some well fields that have higher chlorides are mixed with water of lower chlorides (Webster, 2001).

At the end of 1998, about 90.6 per cent of people in urban areas were connected to the public supply. In rural areas of the coastal plain and the interior Precambrian shield, only 34 per cent have piped water supply in their home. In the interior Precambrian shield, 60 per cent of people use untreated river water for drinking (Webster, 2001).

The distribution systems in all areas are compromised by poor maintenance, water theft and leakages. This results in pump breakdowns, low pressure, intermittent supply and high potential for contamination. In some cases, tanker trucks are in operation to provide services where the piped supply has broken down completely. Unaccounted for water has been estimated at more than 50 per cent in the distribution systems. Furthermore, mercury pollution from gold mining activities in the interior, as well as excessive pesticide use on agriculture lands in coastal areas, is a major threat to drinking water quality. In the absence of good monitoring data, and considering the fact that disinfection is not practised, drinking water cannot be considered safe (Webster, 2001).

The expansion of the gold mining industry has polluted many creeks and rivers, which the indigenous population uses for water supply. This additional health threat further heightens the villages' need to be served with safe water from water supply systems. However, active participation of the communities is necessary for this to be realized. Removal of mercury should be considered (Webster, 2001).

No wastewater treatment systems exist in the country. Septic tanks are used for treatment, with

the sludge dumped directly into rivers (Webster, 2001).

The incidence of infectious disease is associated with deficiencies in the supply of water and sanitation. This is a top priority health problem. Gastroenteritis is the fifth most common cause of death in the country. Considering the geo-hydrological conditions of the coastal areas, including Greater Paramaribo, with high ground water tables and high risk of flooding, in combination with the inadequate disposal of septic tank effluent and sludge and deteriorating water supply infrastructure, serious concerns exist in respect of the outbreak of water-related disease. The Upper Suriname area is densely populated. The high incidence of childhood mortality is probably related to poor sanitary conditions, such as the lack of safe drinking water and sanitation (Webster, 2001).

Episodes of diarrheal diseases are more frequent during wet seasons than during the dry seasons. In the interior, the social impacts of water contamination are now exacerbated by the presence of mercury in the rivers due to the rapid increase in small-scale gold mining operations. According to a Suriname study developed in 1997, mercury contamination in excess of permissible World Health Organization limits of 0.001mg/L was found in the following rivers: Lawa (3.89mg/L), Marowijne (1.87mg/L), Tapanahoni (0.69mg/L), Saramacca (0.10mg/L) and the Suriname River (2.97mg/L) (Webster, 2001).

There is no systematic health and environmental education on water and sanitation in the country. The existing health and environmental health surveillance systems need to be improved (Webster, 2001).

2. GOVERNANCE ASPECTS

2.1. WATER INSTITUTIONS

The Ministry of Natural Resources controls the exploitation and management of all natural resources, including water. Within the ministry, the Water Supply Service is responsible for the water supply in the remainder coastal area and the hinterland (Webster, 2001).

Three government entities presently share responsibility for water supply services (Webster, 2001):

- The Suriname Water Company which provides water supply services to Paramaribo and part of Wanica, Nieuw Nickerie and Albina; it has a management information system with several functioning database systems;

- The Water Supply Service of the Ministry of Natural Resources covers the rural population living in the coastal areas and the interior;

- The Ministry of Regional Development, which provides logistic support.

Five private entities provide drinking water to the communities of Patamaca, Yarikaba, Wageningen, Paranam, and Onverdacht. Responsibilities for sewage management and wastewater disposal are shared by the Sewer and Drain Division of the Ministry of Public Works, the Environmental Control Division of the Bureau of Public Health of the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Regional Development (Webster, 2001).

Most water supply and sanitation sector institutions are weak. They are affected by a lack of

financial means, lack of sufficient qualified personnel and clear legislative direction. Most offer services that are not self-sustaining. At present, there is limited financing and limited financial resource allocation to the sector (Webster, 2001).

Responsibilities for the management of the sector are fragmented across several institutions and departments. Coordination and integration would lead to a more efficient use of personnel and materials; a more focused planning of necessary investment and a uniform price level for water supply services. Although Suriname is striving to have the drinking water supply in the coastal area operated by only one company, the water supply service has not been integrated. Clearly, a politically acceptable integrated model for the water supply of the coastal area is required. The absence of a strong, coordinated rural sanitation program, coupled with limited health education and infrastructure weakness, represent a critical constraint for rural sanitation development in the country (Webster, 2001).

Institutional problems affect sanitation in greater Paramaribo. Various institutions share the actual management responsibility without coordination and without a common plan. The establishment of a sanitation master plan for greater Paramaribo has been discussed and recommended in the sector analysis (Webster, 2001).

Although studies undertaken in the past have represented a significant step forward, neither the National Water Authority nor the Drainage Authority for Paramaribo have been established (Webster, 2001).

The Hydraulic Research Division of the Ministry of Public Works promotes the optimum utilization, management and protection of water resources. It is the principal agency in the country that collects and publishes hydrologic, hydraulic and water quality data and information, and conducts investigations and research. Activities regarding water resources are coordinated by this agency, which also provides advice to the government. This division is in charge of the national hydrometric observation system, as well as the collection of basic hydraulic and water quality data. Its main areas of concentration are water resources investigations and development, irrigation, drainage, erosion and sedimentation, water management, the environment, the protection of riverbanks and the coast, the navigability of the rivers and aquaculture (Webster, 2001).

The Ministry of Natural Resources is also responsible for the forestry sector and gives policy guidance. The Forest Service is in charge of the management and control of public forests. In 1992, a new Forest Management Act was enacted for sustainable management of forest resources (Webster, 2001).

The Ministry of Agriculture historically is responsible for irrigation water, infrastructure, and drainage (Webster, 2001).

The Meteorological Service falls under the Ministry of Public Works. The Service maintains and manages all meteorological data of the country. It is responsible for all weather forecasts and meteorological data for Suriname (Webster, 2001).

Other government institutes involved in the water supply and sanitation of the country are the Ministry of Planning and the National Institute for Environment and Development. International institutions involved include the Pan American Health Organization, WHO, UNICEF, the

International Development Bank, the Islamic Development Bank and the Caribbean Development Bank. The governments of the Netherlands and Belgium and the EU also contribute. There are also a number of nongovernmental and private sectors that contribute (Webster, 2001).

The Electric Utility Company of Suriname under the Ministry of Natural Resources and Energy is responsible for the generation and distribution of electricity. There is substantial self-generation of electricity among the industries. The largest self-producer, the Suriname Aluminium Company, sells electricity to the government under a long-term agreement signed in 1957, expiring in 2045. The government resells the energy to the Electric Utility Company.

The Hydrological Department of the Ministry of Public Works is in charge of flood control and mobilization of water resources.

The government is compelled to define management plans for all actions in the coastal wetlands and the estuarine zones and it is expected that the entire Young Coastal Plain (deltas) will be designated as a multiple-use management area. The Planning Act defines area-wide conditions to be taken into account with respect to land use and rational exploitation of the prevailing natural resources, as well as the prerequisites to be observed with respect to special management by, as well as on behalf of, the government.

2.2. WATER MANAGEMENT

In the 1994-1998 government's Multi-annual Development Plan, the revitalization of agriculture was emphasized through rehabilitation and modernization of the agricultural sector, modernization of agro-industries, improvement in traditional export production and expansion of non-traditional agro-cultural production. Policies focused on: increasing and stimulating export oriented and import substitution activities; supporting agricultural activities in connection with sustainable measures against poverty; increasing foreign exchange earnings; and implementing an investment programme for rehabilitation of physical infrastructure and most-needed logistics for a limited number of priority sectors.

In addition to the above, the 1996-2001 Agricultural Policy Document mentions certain policy measures, notably, removal of subsidies to agricultural parastatals, their privatization, incentives for export and domestic food production and improvement of physical infrastructure. Specific policies are geared toward: sustained palm oil production to develop spearrot disease-resistant hybrids and expand production; increased efficiency of the rice sector and reactivation of rice research to compete in the world market; and improved competitiveness and productivity of banana production. Programmes to be implemented include the improvement of statistical collection methods and irrigation and drainage.

For vegetables and fruit, it is also necessary to improve access to information and marketing skills, including better conditions for air freight.

The Multi-Purpose Corantijn Project in the district of Nickerie includes the construction of a 66km irrigation canal to bring water to existing and projected new areas. Its aim is to produce 125km² more rice (paddy) and raise cropping intensity from 1.22 to 1.90. Vegetables, perennial fruit crops and pastures are mainly rainfed, although modern irrigation and drainage systems are needed to

make production less dependent on rainfall.

2.3. WATER POLICY AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

At present, there is no law that comprehensively regulates the water supply and sanitation sector as a whole. However, there has been a draft water law since 1984. Most water supply systems use groundwater as their source, which is a common property resource and should be protected by law. Legislation regarding the groundwater procurement areas is drafted and should be adopted as soon as possible. The Water Supply Law regulating water supply services and protecting water resources was submitted to the Cabinet of Ministers in 1994. The status of this proposed law is unknown. A law protecting surface water is also needed. This law should address, among other things, the technical standards for the discharge of wastewater. The existing legislation regarding health and environmental issues is vague and antiquated, being more than 50 years old. There is an urgent need to update the legal framework of the water supply and sanitation sector (Webster, 2001).

3. GEOPOLITICAL ASPECTS

Suriname, on the northeastern coast of South America, it is bordered by the Atlantic Ocean to the north, Guyana to the west, French Guyana to the east and Brazil to the south.

From a hydrographic point of view, Suriname is not part of the Regional Amazon System. However, Suriname belongs to the Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization (ACTO) because of vegetation, more specifically the tropical rain forest ecosystem in and around the Amazon basin.

Suriname is one of eight countries sharing the Amazon basin. The Amazon river basin occupies the entire central and eastern area of South America, lying to the east of the Andes mountain range and extending from the Guyana Plateau in the north to the Brazilian Plateau in the south. The basin covers more than 6.1 million km², or 44 per cent of the land area of the South American continent, extending into Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Peru, Suriname and Venezuela. It has widely varying climatic and topographic characteristics, with elevations ranging from sea level at the river's mouth, to an altitude of 6,500m in the Andes (OAS, 2005).

The Amazon River, which runs for approximately 7,100km from its source in Peru to the Atlantic Coast of Brazil, is the world's longest, widest and deepest river. Its discharge of approximately 210,000m³ per second exceeds the combined discharge of the world's nine next largest rivers. The Amazon River system is divided into 10 subbasins, the largest of which in area are the Negro, Xingú, Madeira, Tapajós and Juruá subbasins. In terms of discharge, from a hydrological standpoint, an estimated 65 per cent of the basin's total flow into the Atlantic Ocean come from the Solimoes and Madeira river subbasins, originating in the Andes and comprising about 60 per cent of the basin's land area (OAS, 2005).

Most of the basin is covered by tropical rainforest, accounting for more than 56 per cent of all broad leaf forests in the world. Its ecosystems are characterized by great biodiversity, with more than 30,000 plant species, nearly 2,000 fish species, 60 reptile species, 35 mammal families and approximately 1,800 bird species (OAS, 2005).

ACTO was established in 1978 by the governments of Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Peru, Suriname and Venezuela. Its goal was to plan for the sustainable development of the region's resources and people. In 2004, a strategic plan for 2004-2012 was published. The plan defines a number of areas and themes for development, including sustainable management of the region's water and soil resources (MACRG, 2006).

The Integrated and Sustainable Management of Transboundary Water Resources in the Amazon river basin project was prepared by the countries that are signatories to ACTO: Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Peru, Suriname, and Venezuela. The project's goal was to strengthen the institutional framework for planning and executing, in a coordinated and coherent manner, activities for the protection and sustainable management of the land and water resources of the Amazon river basin. The proposed project endeavoured to realize a shared vision for sustainable development in the region, based upon the protection and integrated management of transboundary water resources and its adaptation to climate changes. This will clearly be a long-term effort (MACRG, 2006).

The development of an institutional structure for the coordinated management of the Amazon region is recent and still fragile. The challenge, in terms of its hemispheric scale and the number of countries sharing responsibility, is great. All eight are developing countries, and their economic and technical capacities have evolved in very different ways, both in terms of scale as well as related institutional and legal frameworks (MACRG, 2006).

Accordingly, the project is proposed to be divided into three four-year phases: the first for planning and development of institutional capacity; the second for implementation of jointly-identified strategic activities; and the third for strengthening sustainable and integrated water resources management in the basin, recognizing the likely impacts forecasted to arise as a consequence of ongoing changes to the global circulation and climatic regimes (MACRG, 2006).

Suriname is part of the Maroni basin too. In 1915, a Convention was signed between France and Netherlands to fix the boundary between Suriname and French Guiana. Sixty-four per cent (37,500km²) of the area of the basin is part of Suriname.

4. SOURCES

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). 2000. AQUASTAT [online]. <http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/main/index.stm>

Ministry of Agriculture of the Cooperative Republic of Guyana (MACRG). 2006. Integrated and Sustainable Management of Transboundary Water Resources in The Amazon River Basin, GEF Amazonas Project – ACTO/GEF/UNEP/OAS. Final Report

National Vision Document. Hydrometeorological Service. [iwlearn.net/iw-projects/2364/reports/amazon-basin-vision/RelatorioVisaoGuiana30108.pdf](http://www.iwlearn.net/iw-projects/2364/reports/amazon-basin-vision/RelatorioVisaoGuiana30108.pdf)

Organization of American States (OAS). 2005. Amazon River Basin: Integrated and Sustainable Management of Transboundary Water Resources in the Amazon River Basin. Water Project Series, Number 8 http://www.oas.org/dsd/events/english/documents/osde_8amazon.pdf

Program in Water Conflict Management and Transformation.

<http://www.transboundarywaters.orst.edu>

Webster, T.C. and Roebuck, L. 2001. Water Resources Assessment of Suriname. www.sam.usace.army.mil/en/wra/Suriname/Suriname%20Water%20Resources%20Assessment.pdf